

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,623	09/27/2001	Charles D. Murphy		9721	
30320 75	0 03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
CHARLES DOUGLAS MURPHY			DO, CHAT C		
601 LINDEN PLACE #210 EVANSTON, IL 60202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200:	DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/963,623	MURPHY, CHARLES D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chat C. Do	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingle within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	November 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Applicat  iority documents have been receive  eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 11/10/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 17 are independent claims. In Amendment, claims 1-32 are amended. This Office action is made final.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 17-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 17-32 clearly recite a method for computing one or more sums of products according to a mathematic algorithm. In order for such a claimed method, computer-related process, or a claimed non-specified apparatus implementing the underlined process to be statutory, the claims must include either a step or means that results in a physical transformation outside the computer or a limitation to a practical application. However, it is clear from the claims that the claims merely recite step or non-specific means for data computation and manipulation in performing a mathematical function. The input is a set of numbers and output is also a set of number. The claims fail to recite any step or means that results in a physical transformation outside the computer, that includes a limitation to a practical application, or that requires a specific computer to

Art Unit: 2124

implement the claimed process. Therefore, claims 17-32 are clearly directed to a non-statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 10-24, and 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richardson (U.S. 5,262,973).

Re claim 1, Richardson discloses in Figure 5 a machine used in computing one of more sums of products (claim 1 pre-amble) wherein at least one of sums of products includes the product of a first input and a first weight and the products of a second input and a second weight, where first input differs from second input and where first weight differs from second weight (abstract) comprising: a. a first number (e.g. 640 as X) represented in a first finite-precision numeric format (col. 2 lines 25-30), and having a first number (e.g. any finite numbers except 0, -1, 1, NAN, -inf, and +inf); b. a second number (e.g. 650 as Y) represented in a second finite-precision numeric format (col. 2 lines 25-30) and having a second numeric value; c. first multiplier means (500) for computing a first product equal to the product of first number and second number (e.g. Z = X \* Y), where; i. first multiplier means can compute the product of a first multiplier input and a second multiplier input when the first multiplier input is any number from

Art Unit: 2124

first multiplier-desired restricted set and the second multiplier input is second number (e.g. belong to the restricted set, no halt signal is generate which enable 500); ii. first multiplier means cannot compute the product of a first multiplier input and a second multiplier input when the first multiplier input is not a member of first multiplier-defined restricted set, the second multiplier input is second number, the first multiplier input has numeric value not equal to zero, and the second multiplier input has numeric value not equal to zero (e.g. when x or y or x and y are 1, the halt signal is generate to bypass the multiplication 500); iii. first multiplier-defined restricted set has more than one member (e.g. 2, 3, 4..); and iv. first multiplier-defined restricted set does not include all the members of a first unrestricted set (inherent for limited set of memory), first unrestricted set including all numbers having first finite-precision numeric format and (e.g. 0, 1, -1); v. where either 1. first multiplier input is first input and first multiplicand input is first weight, or 2. first multiplier input is first weight and first multiplicand input is first input; whereby first multiplier means is not a general multiplier able to compute the product of second number and any member of first unrestricted set (abstract lines 6-13) when second numeric value is not equal to zero.

Re claim 2, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first multiplier-defined restricted set includes a first member having a numeric value equal to zero (e.g. 510 or 540).

Re claim 3, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first multiplier-defined restricted set includes a first member having numeric value not equal to zero, not equal to positive one, and not equal to negative one and a second member having numeric value

Art Unit: 2124

that is not equal to zero, not equal to positive one, and not equal to negative one (510 to 560).

Re claim 4, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first multiplier-defined restricted set has exactly two members (e.g. 2 and 3) which are a first member and a second member.

Re claim 5, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first member of first multiplier-defined restricted set has a numeric value equal to zero (e.g. 510 or 540).

Re claim 6, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 the numeric value of first member of first multiplier-defined restricted set is equal to the negative of the numeric value of second member (e.g. -1 and 1).

Re claim 7, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first member of first multiplier-defined restricted set is a shifted version of the representation element values of the second member (e.g. 1 shift right would be 0).

Re claim 8, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first multiplier-defined restricted set has more than two members (e.g. 2, 3, 4...).

Re claim 10, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 a third number represented in a third finite-precision numeric format and having a third numeric value, b. a fourth number represented in a fourth finite-precision numeric format and having a fourth numeric value, and c. second multiplier means comprising a second multiplier input, a second multiplicand input, a second product output, and where i second multiplier means can compute the product of a first multiplier input and a second multiplier input when the first multiplier input is any number from second multiplier-defined restricted set and the

Art Unit: 2124

second multiplier input is fourth number second multiplier means cannot compute the product of a first multiplier input and a second multiplier input when the first multiplier input is not a member of second multiplier-defined restricted set, the second multiplier input is fourth number, the first multiplier input has numeric value not equal to zero, and the second multiplier input has numeric value not equal to zero iii. second multiplier-defined restricted set has more than one member whereby first product and second product may be computed with lower complexity than if general multiplier means were used to compute each product (e.g. the third number and a fourth number are just another set of operands with selective number(s) in the sets).

Re claim 11, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 a second multiplier-defined restricted set does not include all members of a second unrestricted set consisting of all numbers having third finite-precision numeric format (e.g. two separate sets contain separate members S1 {odd} and S2 {even}).

Re claim 12, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first multiplier-defined restricted set and second multiplier-defined restricted set do not have any common members (e.g. two separate sets contain separate members S1 {odd} and S2 {even}).

Re claim 13, it has the similar limitations cited in claim 1 for the second number of the second multiplier-defined restricted set (e.g. Y). Thus, claim 13 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Re claim 14, it has the similar limitations cited in claim 2 for the second number of the second multiplier-defined restricted set (e.g. Y). Thus, claim 14 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 15, it has the similar limitations cited in claim 3 for the second number of the second multiplier-defined restricted set (e.g. Y). Thus, claim 15 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 16, it has the similar limitations cited in claim 4 for the second number of the second multiplier-defined restricted set (e.g. Y). Thus, claim 16 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 4.

Re claim 17, it is a method claim of claim 1. Thus, claim 17 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Re claim 18, it is a method claim of claim 2. Thus, claim 18 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 2.

Re claim 19, it is a method claim of claim 3. Thus, claim 19 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 20, it is a method claim of claim 4. Thus, claim 20 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 4.

Re claim 21, it is a method claim of claim 5. Thus, claim 21 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 5.

the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 6.

Re claim 23, it is a method claim of claim 7. Thus, claim 23 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 7.

Re claim 24, it is a method claim of claim 8. Thus, claim 24 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 8.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 26, it is a method claim of claim 10. Thus, claim 26 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 10.

Re claim 27, it is a method claim of claim 11. Thus, claim 27 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 11.

Re claim 28, it is a method claim of claim 12. Thus, claim 28 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 12.

Re claim 29, it is a method claim of claim 13. Thus, claim 29 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 13.

Re claim 30, it is a method claim of claim 14. Thus, claim 30 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 14.

Re claim 31, it is a method claim of claim 15. Thus, claim 31 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 15.

Re claim 32, it is a method claim of claim 16. Thus, claim 32 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 16.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 9 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Richardson (U.S. 5,262,973) in view of Deutsch et al. (U.S. 4,031,377).

Re claim 9, Richardson further discloses in Figure 5 first multiplier-defined restricted set has a first member and a second member with the following properties: a. first member is not an integer multiple of second member (e.g. X = 3) b. second member is not an integer multiple of first member (Y = 5). Richardson does not disclose that the multiplication is related by at least one shift and one addition. However, Deutsch et al. disclose a multiplication that are related at least one shift and one addition in Figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add a multiplication using at least one shift and one addition as seen in Deutsch et al.'s invention into Richardson's invention because it would enable to increase the system performance and simplify the hardware circuit (col. 2 lines 25-34).

Re claim 25, it is a method claim of claim 9. Thus, claim 25 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 9.

### Response to Amendment

9. The amendment filed 11/10/2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

Re claim 1, limitations "first multiplicity of control signals... second numeric value" in page 1 lines 15-28 and page 2 lines 1-6 are considered the new matter, in particularly the state and the control signals.

Claims 10 and 13, they also have the new matters as above in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

In specification, added paragraphs in pages 2-3 in Amendment A filed 11/10/2004 introduce new matters, in particularly the state and the control signal.

Figure 1, it introduces new matter with the control signal label as 18.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter or specifically and clearly pointed out exact page(s) and line(s) in the specification to support the new matter above in the reply to this Office Action.

# Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed 11/10/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - a. The applicant argued in pages 8-12 for claims that the external control signals do not define a multiplier's ability or inability to compute a given product in the present invention.

The examiner respectfully submits that the external control signal cited in the claims of the present invention is not considered because it introduces new matter as clearly cited under "Response to Amendment" section above.

#### Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/963,623 Page 11

Art Unit: 2124

date of this final action.

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on  $M \Rightarrow F$  from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2124

March 9, 2005

KAKALI CHANI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100